Critical Access: Cost-Based Reimbursement vs. Fee-for-Service

Introduction

Melissa Memorial Hospital is a Critical Access Hospitals (CAH). CAH's play a vital role in the U.S. healthcare system, particularly in rural and underserved areas. Hospitals like ours receive special financial considerations to ensure sustainability, primarily through cost-based reimbursement. However, many healthcare providers still operate under the traditional fee-for-service (FFS) model, think of most of the hospitals along the I25 Corridor near or in the city. Understanding the differences between cost-based reimbursement and fee-for-service is crucial for evaluating their impact on hospital sustainability and understanding the benefits and limitations this status provides Eastern Phillips County and beyond.

Cost-Based Reimbursement

Cost-based reimbursement is a payment model in which Medicare reimburses CAHs for allowable costs incurred while providing patient care. This approach aims to enhance the financial stability of these essential hospitals and ensure continued access to healthcare in rural areas. Melissa Memorial's patient mix is a little over 54% Medicare, so a significant amount of our revenue flows through this cost-based system.

Key Features:

- **Reimbursement**: Medicare promised to cover 101% of reasonable costs for inpatient and outpatient services, including facility expenses, salaries, and supplies.

  *In actuality, through federal sequestration, for the last 13 or so years, we receive cost less 1%. In theory, we lose a little on each Medicare patient and have to make up that difference somewhere else. There is a somewhat complex year end accounting called the "Cost Report" where Melissa Memorial and the Government settle up annually. At this settlement we could be owed money, or we could owe money depending on changes, reporting accurarcy, and any billing discrepancies positive or negative.

- **Reduced Financial Risk**: Since reimbursement is based on costs, CAHs are less susceptible to financial losses due to market fluctuations.
- **Sustainability Focused**: By covering the cost of care, the model helps prevent hospital closures, ensuring continued service availability in rural regions like Holyoke.

Disadvantages:

- Potential inefficiencies, as hospitals may lack incentives to control costs effectively.
- Since CAH's receive 1% less than cost, it is difficult to count on Medicare to help us save dollars or have funding for service line expansion, equipment, or future construction.
- Requires thorough documentation and compliance with Medicare guidelines.

- Limited to facilities meeting specific CAH designation criteria.

Fee-for-Service (FFS) Reimbursement - Typically, Larger Urban or Big City Hospitals

Fee-for-service is a traditional payment model in which healthcare providers receive payments for each service or procedure performed. Under this system, hospitals and physicians bill for individual treatments, diagnostics, and consultations. These are usually driven by the Medicare fee schedule.

Key Features:

- **Volume-Based Payment**: Reimbursement is based on the number of services provided, regardless of actual costs incurred.
- **Market-Driven**: Payments vary depending on insurance contracts, service complexity, and regional healthcare pricing.
- **Greater Flexibility**: Hospitals can set their service rates and negotiate contracts with private insurers.

Disadvantages:

- May lead to overtreatment or unnecessary procedures due to financial incentives.
- Can create financial strain for hospitals with low patient volumes.
- Payments may not cover the actual costs of care, leading to revenue shortfalls.

Comparison and Implications

The primary distinction between cost-based reimbursement and fee-for-service lies in financial predictability versus market-driven payments. Cost-based reimbursement provides stability and sustainability for CAHs, ensuring they can continue operations without revenue shortfalls. In contrast, FFS introduces financial variability, which can be advantageous for hospitals with high patient volumes but may pose challenges for smaller rural facilities.

Conclusion

Both cost-based reimbursement and fee-for-service have distinct advantages and drawbacks. Cost-based reimbursement ensures financial stability and continued access to rural healthcare, while fee-for-service offers flexibility and incentivizes service provision. Understanding these models helps healthcare administrators and policymakers make informed decisions about sustainable hospital funding strategies.

March 3, 2025